## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

NARTRON CORPORATION and UUSI, LLC,	)
Plaintiffs,	)
	) Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-691
v.	)
	) Honorable Robert Holmes Bel
BYRON HOURMAND,	) United States District Judge
Defendant.	) ) )
	,

**CONSENT JUDGMENT** 

The parties hereto consent to the entry of a judgment, on the terms stated below, based on the following stipulation.

## **STIPULATION**

- 1. Plaintiff Nartron Corporation was the owner at issuance of U.S. Patent No. 5,796,183, ("the '183 patent"), by assignment from defendant Byron Hourmand for good and valuable consideration.
  - 2. Nartron has since assigned the '183 patent to plaintiff UUSI, LLC.
  - 3. The '183 patent at issuance named Byron Hourmand as sole inventor.
- 4. The '183 patent at issuance erroneously omitted John M. Washeleski, of Cadillac, Michigan, and Stephen R. W. Cooper, of Fowlerville, Michigan, as joint inventors.
- 5. John M. Washeleski and Stephen R. W. Cooper are joint inventors of the matter of independent claims 20, 21 and 27 (and claims dependent therefrom) of the '183 patent, as proved by the pleaded matter in the Complaint, including exhibits thereto.
- 6. John M. Washeleski and Stephen R. W. Cooper have stated that they are joint inventors and their omission was without deceptive intention. (Complaint Exhibits J and K.)
- 7. John M. Washeleski and Stephen R. W. Cooper have assigned their interests as inventors of the '183 patent to plaintiff Nartron Corporation. (Complaint Exhibits H and I.)
- 8. Byron Hourmand agrees the error in omitting John M. Washeleski and Stephen R. W. Cooper as joint inventors of the '183 patent was without deceptive intention.
  - 9. Each party has read this agreement and had the assistance of counsel.

Case 1:10-cv-006 RHB Doc #8 Filed 09/08/10 Page f 4 Page ID#147

**JUDGMENT** 

A. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of and the parties to this

action.

B. John M. Washeleski and Stephen R. W. Cooper were erroneously omitted as

joint inventors of U.S. Patent No. 5,796,183, ("the '183 patent"), and such error occurred without

deceptive intention.

C. Under authority of 35 U.S.C. §256,¶2, the Court orders the Director of Patents

and Trademarks to issue a certificate of correction adding John M. Washeleski, of Cadillac,

Michigan, and Stephen R. W. Cooper, of Fowlerville, Michigan, as joint inventors of U.S. Patent

No. 5,796,183.

D. Byron Hourmand, as assignor of the '183 patent for good and valuable

consideration, is subject to the patent law doctrine of assignor estoppel from contesting the

ownership, validity and enforceability of the '183 patent.

E. Defendant Byron Hourmand is therefore enjoined from contesting the

ownership, validity or enforceability of U.S. Patent 5,796,183, along with persons in active concert

or participation with Byron Hourmand, who receive actual notice by personal service or otherwise.

F. The parties shall bear their own attorney fees and costs.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 8, 2010

/s/ Robert Holmes Bell

HONORABLE ROBERT HOLMES BELL

**United States District Judge** 

NARTRON CORPORATION

Norman A. Rautiola

Is: PRESIDENT

a/k/a Bahram Hourmand a/k/a Joseph Oliver deMontfort

Byron Hourmand

August 26, 2010

Date:

UUSI, LLC

Its:

Date: August 26, 2010

## Case 1:10-cv-00691-RHB Doc #9 Filed 09/09/10 Page 1 of 5 Page ID#149

Mail Stop 8 TO: Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		REPORT ON THE fice FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been		
filed in the U.S. District Court <u>Western District of Michigan</u> on the following Patents or Trademarks:		
DOCKET NO. 1:10-cv-691	DATE FILED 07/20/2010	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Western District of Michigan - at Grand Rapids
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT
NARTRON CORPO	DRATION et al.	BYRON HOURMAND
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 5,796,183	08/18/1998	Nartron Corporation
2		
3		
4		
5		
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:  DATE INCLUDED  INCLUDED BY  Amendment  Answer  Cross Bill  Other Pleading		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		·
3		·
4		
5		
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgment issued:  DECISION/JUDGMENT  See attached Consent Judgment entered 9/8/10		
CLERK TRACEY COR		BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE
TRACEY CORDES By /s/ G. Frayer 9/9/10		